

Australia France Network of Doctoral Excellence (AUFRANDE)

Guide for Evaluators

November 2023

Executive Summary

This document provides guidance for the assessment of eligible applications to the open PhD positions of the AUFRANDE Program. It describes the general principles and procedures that will be used in the evaluation and selection process. This Guide is intended for all members of the Selection Panels (Evaluators) but also to Applicants willing to perform a self-assessment of their application. This Guide has been prepared following the recommendations of the European Science Foundation Peer Review Guide¹.

Document history

Issue date	Version	Changes made/reason for this issue
10/02/2023	1	Publication for call 1
07/11/2023	2	Publication for call 2

Disclaimer

Co-funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the European Research Executive Agency can be held responsible for them.

¹ The European Science Foundation Peer Review Guide: https://www.esf.org/fileadmin/user upload/esf/European Peer Review Guide 2011.pdf



aufrande.eu



Table of Contents

1.	About AUFRANDE	3
2.	Evaluation Principles	3
3.	Role and Appointment of Evaluators	3
4.	Overview of the Selection Process	4
5.	Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality	6
	Contact	



1. About AUFRANDE

The Australia France Network of Doctoral Excellence (AUFRANDE) is a 5-years highly ambitious interdisciplinary doctoral program linking France and Australia, with a strong support from the industry. AUFRANDE seeks to recruit excellent researchers of any nationality, gender and background from around the world for its 64 PhD positions, following an open, transparent, impartial and equitable recruitment procedure in line with the principles set out in the European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for the Recruitment of Researchers. AUFRANDE is co-funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 101081465.

2. Evaluation Principles

The process for the selection of the Applicants to AUFRANDE open positions will be extensive and transparent, with the aim of attracting the most talented and motivated students from around the world.

Before starting the evaluation processes, all Evaluators must sign a letter of commitment, declaring possible conflict of interest², agreeing to confidentiality and committing to impartial assessment of all applications. They will be required to read this Guide for Evaluators, the Guide for Applicants and the Program description on the AUFRANDE website (www.aufrande.eu) and attend the briefing session for Evaluators, organised by the AUFRANDE Team to ensure all are fully equipped with the correct information, and to answer any questions they may have.

The AUFRANDE Team will oversee the entire evaluation processes, liaising with the Panel Chairs and participating in consensus meetings, where Evaluators will be discussing and deciding on the Applicants' ranking, to ensure evaluation principles are guaranteed and requirements are fulfilled.

Evaluators are prohibited from contacting Applicants during the evaluation process, discussing the evaluation outside the Panel and revealing the identities of the Evaluators.

3. Role and Appointment of Evaluators

A specific Selection Panel will be established each position, holding the responsibility of evaluating and ranking eligible Applicants, and deciding on selected and reserve Applicants. Each Selection Panel will comprise at least 4 Evaluators and will maintain its composition throughout the entire selection process, unless a member is changed due to a conflict of interest arising or force majeure. Each Panel should appoint a Chair, in charge of coordinating the process and reporting on the Panel's decision.

Each Selection Panel will be composed of:

- 2 internal Evaluators representatives from the French and the Australian Host / PhD awarding organisations as per the position description;
- At least 1 inter-sectoral Evaluator representative of a non-academic entity relevant to the field;
- At least 1 external Evaluator not belonging to any formalized partner of AUFRANDE.

The Selection Panels will also fulfil the following criteria:

- Extensive experience in doctoral training and supervision;
- Diverse and relevant expertise in the disciplines involved in the research projects proposed;
- At least 2 nationalities represented;
- Gender balanced;
- Declare no conflict of interest.

² There is a conflict of interest if the Evaluator has a direct benefit, a close personal or professional relationship with applicant; any other situation that compromises impartiality or casts doubt, or that could reasonably appear to do so.



aufrande.eu



4. Overview of the Selection Process

Step	What will happen at this step?	Timeframe	
1 - Online application	Applicants submit their application through the	Between 27 November 2023	
	online portal (SlideRoom).	and 24 January 2024	
2 - Eligibility check	AUFRANDE Team and the PhD awarding institutions	Until 14 February 2024	
	conduct the initial eligibility check towards		
	AUFRANDE entry requirements and eligibility criteria.		
3 - Assessment of eligible	For each position, all Evaluators of the specific	Between 14 February and 8	
applications and first ranking	Selection Panel will assess, evaluate and rank the	March 2024	
of Applicants	eligible applications, according to the evaluation		
	criteria outlined below. The Selection Panel will		
	shortlist up to 6 Applicants for an interview.		
4 - Interviews	Each Selection Panel will conduct the interview of	Notification to short-listed	
	shortlisted Applicants for a position, and assess,	Applicants: 12-22 March 2024	
	evaluate and rank the Applicants according to the	Interviews: 3 April to 30 May	
	criteria listed in Table 3.	2024	
5 - Final ranking and	Each Selection Panel, supported by the AUFRANDE	June 2024	
allocation of positions	Team, will agree on the final ranking, successful and		
	reserve Applicants. The AUFRANDE Team will		
	communicate results to the Applicants.		
6 - Onboarding of successful	Selected Applicants will go through the enrolment	Between July and October	
Applicants	process at their research host and PhD awarding	2024	
	universities. Once this step has been completed		
	Applicants will receive offer letters issued by both		
	institutions.		

Table 1: Evaluation timeline for AUFRANDE first call for Applicants.

The relevant steps for Evaluators (from Step 3 to 5) are covered below:

Evaluation of eligible applications (step 3)

All applications successfully passing the eligibility check (step 2) will be assessed by a dedicated Selection Panel for the position selected. Evaluators will assess each application individually against the Evaluation Criteria detailed in Table 2, directly on the designated application platform (SlideRoom). Applicants may select up to 3 positions in their application form. Where Applicants have applied and been assessed as eligible for more than 1 position, the assessments will proceed simultaneously for all.

Criteria	Score	Weighting (%)
Academic merit: academic transcript, publications, etc.	0–5	30
Research skills and other relevant competences	0–5	25
Research & work experience: 3i dimension, international or industry internships, conferences, etc.	0–5	15
Motivation letter	0–5	10
Recommendation by referees	0–5	10
Public awareness (dissemination and communication activities)	0–5	10
Weighted Average	0–5	100

Scoring guide:

- 0 Fail. The application fails in these criteria or cannot be judged due to incomplete information.
- 1 Poor. The application has serious weaknesses or is addressed in an inadequate manner.
- 2 Fair. The application broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
- 3 Good. The application addresses the criterion well, although improvements are required.
- 4 Very Good. The application addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible.
- 5 Excellent. The application successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question.

Table 2: Criteria and weighting for scoring applications to AUFRANDE positions.



aufrande.eu



After all evaluations have been completed on SlideRoom, a first ranking for each position will be produced based on average scores granted by the Panel members. In cases where Applicants obtain the same score, priority will be given to those who scored highest in academic merit, followed by research skills. These being equal, priority will be given to female Applicants.

The Selection Panel will then set a cut-off score for passing to the next round (3 out of 5 as a standard) and will shortlist top ranked Applicants for an interview (up to a maximum of 6 Applicants per position may be shortlisted). Exceptions on the cut-off score may be discussed, if at least 3 Applicants are not selected or if the Panel decides to ensure the position will be filled.

Summary of Evaluators' Actions in Step 3:

- Review and evaluate each application against the Evaluation Criteria detailed in Table 2.
- Score each application and provide feedback comments on SlideRoom.
- Once all scorings have been completed by all Evaluators, the AUFRANDE Team will produce final rankings so the evaluators can agree on the shortlist of Applicants to be interviewed (3 to 6).

Interview (step 4)

Interviews of the shortlisted Applicants will be organised by videoconferencing between 3 April to 30 May 2024. Applicants shortlisted will be informed at least 5 days before the interview.

Interviews will be conducted by all Evaluators of the Selection Panel who will assess the Applicants against the Evaluation Criteria detailed in Table 3. The interviews will be composed of:

- A 10-min presentation by the Applicant (background and research project). The presentation can be supported by visuals (e.g. PowerPoint – 5 slides maximum).
- A 30-min question-and-answer session.
- 5-min for the Applicant's questions to the Selection Panel.

Criteria	Score	Weighting (%)
Scientific and investigative aptitude and motivation	0–5	30
Research Project: conceptual understanding and suitability	0–5	30
Professional skills: planning, problem solving, IT, data management,	0–5	20
Interpersonal skills: communication, teamwork, initiative, English level	0–5	20
Weighted Average	0–5	100

Table 3: Criteria and weighting for scoring interviews.

Summary of Evaluators' Actions in Step 4:

- Prepare the interviews, jointly decide on questions to be asked by each Evaluator.
- During the interview, evaluate each candidate against the Interview Criteria listed in Table 3.
- Score each criterion and provide feedback comments.

Final ranking and allocation of doctoral positions (step 5)

A final ranking for each position will be produced by combining the interview score (60% weighting) and the first ranking score (40% weighting). A consensus meeting will be organised for each position where the Selection Panel and AUFRANDE Team will agree on a final ranking, the preferred Applicant and the reserve list. In cases where overall scores are identical, first applying Scientific and Investigative aptitude, followed by Research Project. All these being equal, priority will be given to female Applicants.

Summary of Evaluators' Actions in Step 5:

- The AUFRANDE Team produces the final ranking score, based on the average scores granted by the Evaluators at step 3 (evaluation) and 4 (interview).
- Each Selection Panel then decides on the final ranking of Applicants based on the final scores and rankings, during a consensus meeting.



 The AUFRANDE Team sends a conditional offer to the preferred Applicant. In case the preferred Applicant does not accept the position, the first reserve candidate will then be given the conditional offer under the same conditions.

5. Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality

All Evaluators must have signed the commitment letter, declaring that they do not have any conflicts of interest with the position being evaluated. Should a conflict arise at any time in the process, Evaluators must immediately inform the AUFRANDE Team, who will arrange for a substitute to the conflicted Evaluator.

The signed commitment letter also declares that Evaluators will maintain confidentiality of all Applicants' personal information and relevant information provided. All Evaluators must respect the confidentiality of the information disclosed in the evaluation process, both in documentation and verbally.

6. Contact

RMIT Europe is coordinating the AUFRANDE Program. For any inquiries or information that cannot be found in the Guide for Evaluators, the Guide for Applicants or on the official AUFRANDE website (www.aufrande.eu), contact AUFRANDE Helpdesk (aufrande.eu).